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MARKET CHANGES IN THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY COMMUNITY

Past

- Innovation fueled by Government
- Commercial sector wanted to work with the Government
- DoD was primary driver of technology innovation by making substantial investments in R&D in the defense industrial base
- DoD powered a technology advantage on the battlefield with its investments in R&D

Present

- Innovation fueled by commercial market
- Cutting edge commercial firms with large R&D investments are reluctant to work with the Government
- The focus and pace of S&T innovation and its environment in leading technology areas shifted from Government to commercial sector
- DoD needs to work with commercial sector to maintain a technology advantage on the battlefield
• The traditional procurement process is too slow
• Traditional procurement contracts are based on regulation rather than negotiation
• The Government’s cost-based pricing system is cumbersome and expensive
  – Requires unique accounting and auditing systems
  – Legacy of actual or perceived oversight excesses
• The Government’s standard approach to intellectual property can be overreaching and inflexible
WHAT IS A SECTION 815 PROTOTYPE OTHER TRANSACTION AGREEMENT (OTA)?

A Section 815 OTA is:

- For prototype projects that are directly relevant to enhancing the mission effectiveness of military personnel and the supporting platforms, systems, components, or materials proposed to be acquired or developed by the Department of Defense, or to improvement of platforms, systems, components, or materials in use by the armed forces. A legally binding instrument requiring at least one nontraditional defense contractor/nonprofit participating to a significant extent OR mandatory one third cost sharing
- An instrument that allows for negotiation of intellectual property and flexible payment provisions (payable milestones)
- Tailorable to fit your desired acquisition strategy

A Section 815 OTA is not:

- A FAR procurement contract, grant or cooperative agreement
- For acquisition of production quantities, engineering services, construction, operations & maintenance activities
- Constrained by previous USG contract practices and conventions
- Subject to award protest (i.e., no protests allowed)
- Subject to mandatory cost accounting standards
BENEFITS OF AN OTA

US Government

• Reduced Acquisition Lead Time
• Agility - Can Tailor Terms and Conditions
• Leverage Commercial R&D
• Access to Innovation
• Access to broad spectrum of traditional and non-traditional contractors
• Advance Payments allowed

Industry & Academia

• Relief from FAR
• Limits barriers to participation from those that do not want to do business with the USG
• Enhanced collaboration between the Government, Industry and Academia
• Higher visibility into USG requirements
• Open dialogue with the Government is permitted
• Flexibility in payment methods
• Milestone Payments
PRIMARY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AMCOM EXPRESS AND AMTC

**AMCOM Express**

- Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ)
- Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Based
- Material purchase restrictions/limitations
- Procure advisory and assistance services
- Limited to the contractors provided on the current team
- Difficult to add members
- Restricted communication/ FAR
- Limited Scope

**AMTC**

- Other Transaction Agreement (OTA)
- Other Transaction Authority Based
- Material Purchases are allowable
- Procure R & D/prototypes
- 800+ Members
- Open to industry/academia/nontraditionals.
- Easy to join! Online application/$500
- Collaborative SOW and Proposal
- Broad Scope: 3 Major Technology Areas
WHO CAN PARTICIPATE?

At least one non-traditional defense contractor or nonprofit research institution participating to significant extent

-or-

All significant participants in the transaction are small businesses or non-traditional defense contractors (including SBIR participants)

-or-

At least 1/3 of the total cost of the prototype project is paid by sources other than the Federal Government
WHAT IS “SIGNIFICANT PARTICIPATION”?  

• It is not defined in the statute  

• It can include, but is not limited to:  
  – The participation causes a material reduction in the cost or schedule  
  – The participation causes an increase in the performance of prototype  
  – The performer is responsible for a new key component, technology, or process on the critical path  
  – The performer is accomplishing a significant amount of the effort  

• What should not be the focus of a significant participation analysis is how much money the performer is getting  

• The agency’s analysis must be documented
WHAT IS A NON-TRADITIONAL DEFENSE CONTRACTOR?

• The definition of “non-traditional defense contractor” is in 10 U.S.C. § 2302(9):

  “An entity that is not currently performing or has not performed in the last one-year period preceding the solicitation of sources by the Department of Defense (DoD), any contract or subcontract for the DoD that is subject to full CAS coverage…”

• The current definition was enacted in the FY16 NDAA and is significantly broader than the previous definition
DEFINITION OF PROTOTYPE

• Under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2371b Section 815 of the NDAA for 2016, DoD is authorized to carry out prototype projects that are:
  – Directly relevant to enhancing the mission effectiveness of military personnel and the supporting platform systems, components or materials proposed to be acquired or developed by the Department of Defense.
  – Or, for the improvement of platforms, systems, components or materials in use by the Armed Forces.

• Prototype projects can take the form of either physical or virtual as appropriate in accordance with the definitions and descriptive examples for each prototyping category listed below and can run the spectrum from a rudimentary model to a full scale, fully functional product or process satisfying the intended project goals
  – Concept Prototype
  – Visual or Representative Prototype
  – Proof of Principle or Feasibility Prototype
  – Functional or working Prototype
  – Manufacturing Prototype
BEST PRACTICES

- The primary goal of OTs is to attract nontraditional performers
  - Awarding more quickly may be a side effect of using OTs, but it is not the main reason to use the authority

- To be truly efficient, the Government participants must work from the start as a team, including program, contracting, legal, and financial members

- Marketing your solicitation may be the hardest part
  - Publishing in FBO is not enough
  - It is important to get the solicitation to the nontraditional performers
  - The program office will be an important resource

- OTs are not appropriate for all acquisitions – at its heart, it is an R&D tool

- Fairness and transparency is paramount to success
OTA SUMMARY

- OT practice is ever evolving

- The OT authorities provide significant options to the traditional process

- It is not the appropriate option in all circumstances

- The primary goal of OTs is to encourage and engage non-traditional performers in working on defense programs, NOT to award agreements quickly

- Speed can be a side-effect of the OT flexibility but it will depend on negotiation issues and internal processes

- It may take some time for the Government team to get used to the new paradigm and learn how to negotiate terms and conditions

- With the renewed popularity of OTs, expect some oversight to follow
CCDC AVIATION & MISSILE CENTER OTA

SUMMARY

- **Requirements Organization**: CCDC Aviation & Missile Center (formerly known as AMRDEC)

- **Requirement Description**:
  - OTA for the development and maturation of weapons systems technologies and aviation and missile manufacturing technologies
  - Requirement Specifics:
    - Est. Value of Projects: $2B; $0 obligated at time of award
      - Year 1 of OTA Program Year: $175M
    - Period of Performance: 10 years from date of award
    - During the 10 year term, the estimated value of the prototype projects awarded under the OTA may exceed $2B.

- **Funding type**: RDT&E (Primary Source), PA/OPA/PDA

- **Agreement Type**: Cost plus Fixed Fee (CPFF); Projects may be CPFF or Firm Fixed Price (FFP)

- **CCDC AVM OTA Total Fee = 3.75%**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUIDED MISSILE</th>
<th>MANUFACTURING &amp; ENABLING/ DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES</th>
<th>AVIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Target Detection/ Acquisition/Tracking Sensors</td>
<td>• Innovation Enablers</td>
<td>• Platforms/Materials/ Structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Missile Electronics</td>
<td>• Additive Manufacturing</td>
<td>• Power Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seekers to Defeat Moving Targets and Air Defense Threats</td>
<td>• High Energy Creation and Storage Systems</td>
<td>• Engines/Propulsion Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Guidance/Control for Improved Precision and Global Positioning System (GPS)-Denied Precisions</td>
<td>• Directed Energy</td>
<td>• Drives/Rotors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lethality Mechanisms</td>
<td>• Advanced Materials/Processes</td>
<td>• Mission Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Warheads</td>
<td>• Advanced Manufacturing Techniques</td>
<td>• Avionics/Navigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fuzes</td>
<td>• Manufacturing Cyber Security</td>
<td>• Sensors Networks Data Link and Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Payloads</td>
<td>• Modeling and Simulation</td>
<td>• Survivability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Radar</td>
<td>• Virtual Prototyping</td>
<td>• Sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Datalink and Communication</td>
<td>• Robotics</td>
<td>• Autonomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Materials and Structures</td>
<td>• Automation</td>
<td>• Manned/Unmanned Teaming (MUMT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Power Systems</td>
<td>• High Temperature Materials</td>
<td>• Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aerodynamics</td>
<td>• Lightweight &amp; Hybrid Materials</td>
<td>• Component Cyber Security and Aviation Ground Support Equipment/ Systems (AGSE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Navigation Systems</td>
<td>• Flexible Electronics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Modeling and Simulation</td>
<td>• Reclamation/Repair Technologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Energetics</td>
<td>• Open System Architectures for Enhanced Manufacturing Productivity (Digital Manufacturing and Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Component Cyber Security</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Propulsion Systems for Increased Range and Decisive Effects</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Missile Launchers</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support Equipment</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SPECIAL TERMS & CONDITIONS

**Patent Rights Clauses**

- **FAR 52.227-1** Authorization and Consent
- **FAR 52.227-2** Notice and Assistance Regarding Patent and Copyright Infringement
- **FAR 52.227-3** Patent Indemnity
- **FAR 52.227-6** Royalty Information
- **FAR 52.227-9** Refund of Royalties
Data Rights and Copyrights Clauses

DFARS 252.227-7013 Rights in Technical Data – Noncommercial Items
DFARS 252.227-7014 Rights in Noncommercial Computer Software and Noncommercial Computer Software Documentation
DFARS 252.227-7015 Technical Data – Commercial Items
DFARS 252.227-7016 Rights in Bid or Proposal Information
DFARS 252.227-7018 Rights in Noncommercial Technical Data and Computer Software – Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program
DFARS 252.227-7019 Validation of Asserted Restrictions – Computer Software
DFARS 252.227-7020 Rights in Special Works
DFARS 252.227-7021 Rights in Data-Existing Works
DFARS 252.227-7025 Limitations on the Use or Disclosure of Government-Furnished Information Marked with Restrictive Legends
DFARS 252.227-7026 Deferred Delivery of Technical Data or Computer Software
DFARS 252.227-7027 Deferred Ordering of Technical Data or Computer Software
DFARS 252.227-7030 Technical Data – Withholding of Payment
DFARS 252.227-7037 Validation of Restrictive Markings on Technical Data
Safety Clauses

**DFARS 252.223-7002**  Safety Precautions for Ammunition and Explosives

**DFARS 252.223-7003**  Change in Place of Performance – Ammunition and Explosives

**DFARS 252.223-7007**  Safeguarding Sensitive Conventional Arms, Ammunition and Explosives

**FAR 52.223-3**  Hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data

**FAR 52.236-13**  Accident Prevention

**CCDC Aviation & Missile Center**  Safety Requirements
Security Clauses

- Overarching DD254 establishes eligibility for performance at the full scope of services to be performed under the OTA.

- Top Secret/SCI

- Authorization for classified access for each Prototype Project will be established within each Statement of Work (SOW)/Technical Direction (TD).

- The Security Section in each SOW/TD will define personnel and facility clearance types and levels of access for the Project.

- The Consortium Administrative Organization (CAO) will flow the requirements of the DD254 to the Project Agreement Holders.
SPECIAL TERMS & CONDITIONS

Contract Data Requirements List: 46 Preapproved Data Items
Foreign Participation

- A foreign company can participate in AMTC as a subcontractor to a member.
- A U.S. Company operating under Foreign Ownership, Control or Influence (FOCI) can join.
- All members must have an active JCP Certification (DD2345) – that requires you to have a DUNS/CAGE, etc.
  - Applicant must attach a letter from the Defense Security Service (DSS) verifying that it has an approved plan, special security agreement, or other DSS-approved instrument in place for mitigating the risk of foreign ownership, control or influence; or,
  - If Applicant does not have a DSS-approved mitigation instrument in-place, Applicant must submit a signed copy of Attachment A - Export Compliance Acknowledgement Form.
WHAT IS AMTC?

An enterprise that allows the RDECOM Aviation & Missile Center community, industry and academia to collaborate.

A way to leverage resources and assets within the Guided Missile, Manufacturing, and Aviation Technology Base.

A way to expand the Base by competitively engaging non-traditional defense companies.

AMTC significantly reduces the overall time from R&D to fielding!
AMTC CAPABILITIES

CCDC Aviation & Missile Center’s AMTC will:

– Increase the organic contracting capabilities of the ACC-RSA.

– Increase the efficiency and expedite technical proposal submissions in support of the Army Futures Command.

– Ensure system-of-system-level integrations, such as missile technologies on aviation platforms and fully integrated air and missile defense solutions.

– Strengthen the inclusive link between RDECOM Aviation & Missile Center Science & Technology (S&T) and Industry/Academia, and optimize RDECOM Aviation & Missile Center’s ability to quickly, efficiently, and effectively develop aviation and missile system technology for the 6 Army Modernization Priorities.

#1 Long Range Precision Fires
#2 Next Gen Combat Vehicles (NGCV)
#3 Future Vertical Lift (FVL)
#4 Network/C31
#5 Air & Missile Defense
#6 Soldier Lethality
CONSORTIUM OTAS

Industry/Academia Research & Development Collaborations

Federally-Funded Research Opportunities

- NAC Membership – DOTC OTA and AMTC OTA
- VLC Membership – VLC OTA and AMTC OTA
- NAC and VLC Membership – DOTC,VLC and AMTC OTA
AMTC will be managed by Advanced Technology International (ATI), which has extensive experience in managing consortia for DoD.

AMTC will be managed by Advanced Technology International (ATI), which has extensive experience in managing consortia for DoD.

AMTC is a partnership between the National Armaments Consortium (NAC) and the Vertical Lift Consortium (VLC).

AMTC is fully operational with 760+ member organizations.

Organizations wishing to participate in the AMTC OTA can join either the NAC or the VLC according to the organization’s capability set.

CCDC AVM’s OTA is not in competition with the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD)-sponsored DoD Ordnance Technology Consortium (DOTC) and plans to continue utilizing the DOTC for collaborative ordnance efforts and the VLC for DoD vertical lift requirements.
AMTC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Eric Edwards
AMTC Program Director

Gerry Graves
VLC Executive Director

Jerry Wheeler
ATEC (VLC rep)

Mike Riley
Raytheon (VLC rep)

Charlie Zisette
NAC Executive Director

James Miller
Dynetics (NAC rep)

Dan Hartman
Spectra Tech (NAC Rep)
CAO ROLE

CAO support bridges government and industry activities.

• Solicitation Preparation/Webinars
• Submission Portals
• Whitepaper & Proposal – Receipt/Compliance Review
• Award Processing/Cost Analysis Support
• Project Administration/Close-out
• Milestone/Deliverable Tracking
• Invoice Receipt/Payment
• Technical and Financial Reporting
• Nontraditional Tracking/Reporting

• Consortium Leadership Support
• Member Training and Mentoring
• Collaboration Portal and Website
• Meeting Facility
• Member Application Processing
• Member Database (DD-2345, “good standing” tracking, etc.)
• Dues/Assessment Invoicing and Collection
• Program Status & Financial Reporting
• Conferences/Booth
• Other Support Services
AMTC PUBLIC SITE

www.AMTCEnterprise.org

TECHNOLOGY AREAS

Guided Missiles
Manufacturing & Enabling/Disruptive Technologies
Aviation

Learn More

NAC
Current Members: http://www.nac-dotc.org/NAC_Current_Members.html
How to Join: http://www.nac-dotc.org/How_to_Join.html

VLC
Current Members: http://www.verticalliftconsortium.org/current-members.html
How to Join: http://www.verticalliftconsortium.org/application.html
AMTC OTA PROCESS OVERVIEW

DEVCOM Aviation & Missile Center Publishes Requirements & RWP

AMTC Members Prepare Enhanced White Papers (EWP)

AMTC CAO Hosts Industry Day Proposers’ Conferences

White Papers submitted to AMTC CAO

Government Monitors Projects

AMTC CAO Awards Projects

Government Source Selects

AMTC CAO Compliance Review
OBJECTIVE REQUIREMENTS DOCUMENT (ORD)

1. Objective Area (Select One): Guided Missile, Aviation, Manufacturing

2. Prototype Project Requirement Title:

3. Technical Liaison (1) (Name, Organization/Agency, Phone, Email):

4. Technical Liaison (2) (Name, Organization/Agency, Phone, Email):

5. Requirement:

   Requirements should solicit prototype solutions that improve performance, capability, and/or
   address technology gaps pertinent to DoD weapons or weapon systems. Provide an
   unclassified summary/abstract of the requirement. Complete the first example sentence shown
   below. This sentence should be followed by approximately 1-2 paragraphs that describe
   the requirement, including background information and project objective(s).

   The Government is seeking proposals for the development of (insert specific prototype to be
   developed for (insert specific Government Program-Weapon System the prototype is applicable
to).

6. The prototype being developed is a (Select One): Conceptual Prototype, Visual or
   Representative Prototype, Proof of Principle or Feasibility Prototype, Functional or
   Working Prototype, Manufacturing Prototype

7. This effort will evaluate the (Select all that apply): Technical Feasibility, Manufacturing
   Feasibility, Military Utility of the prototype being developed.

8. The expected prototype project deliverable(s) include:

9. The expected prototype deliverable quantity is (insert quantity).

10. Total Project Funding ($, CL):

    The cost estimate should be provided for the entire value of the prototype project. To the
    maximum extent practicable, all significant milestones and deliverables should be included
    in the cost estimate. The estimate should also include the Confidence Level in funding
    availability. The CLs are as follows: CL 1- Highly confident funds are available; CL 2-
    Moderately confident funds are available; CL 3 - Funding availability unknown.

11. Proposed Scope of Work:

    This section must include:
    - Scope should be broad to allow for minor changes through the project’s entirety.
      Remember, this is a SCOPE of work, not a Statement of Work (SOW), which will be much
      more specific and required for the prototype project.
    - Emphasize the PROTOTYPE aspect of the tasks
    - The Project Agreement Holder (PAH) will prepare a draft SOW in accordance with the
      SOW template in the Request for Enhanced White Papers (RWP).

1. Schedule:
   This section provides a project structure tying major tasks and/or deliverables to milestones and the
   program schedule, such as Critical Design Reviews, Demonstrations, and Field Tests.

2. Security level of the prototype project (Select One): UNCLASSIFIED, CLASSIFIED,
   CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, TOP SECRET

3. List AA&E or hazardous material involved with performance of the prototype project:

   The below information will not be included in the Objective Requirement Document, but is needed to
   support review by the Acquisition Liaison Office and ACC-RSA.

4. Market Research & Acquisition Strategy: The intent is to ensure requirements for major
   programs have sufficient competition early in the process through market research/
   FEDBIZOPPS, program specific synopsis notice, etc.
   a. Was Market Research or any Public Announcement (market survey, Request for
      Information, FEDBIZOPPS synopsis notice) completed on the prototype
      requirement?
   b. Does the prototype requirement support an Acquisition Category (ACAT)
      designated program or expect to have follow-on production?
   c. Will the prototype requirement award be over $100M for individual prototype
      agreements?

5. Is this a follow-on effort? Provide previous contract history.

Notes:
❖ Correct nomenclature for “contractor” under the AMRDEC OTA is “Project Agreement Holder”
   (PAH).
❖ Spell out ALL Acronyms
❖ Address all questions. Insert “Not Applicable” (NA) if the requirement does not warrant an
   answer to a specific question. Do not delete questions.

Complete ORD on the AMTC BIDs Site
https://ati.acqcenter.com/AMTC/BIDS.NSF/Start?ReadForm
The Competitive Evaluation will result in one of the following:

- "Excellent" or "Acceptable" will be placed in the Basket

- "Unacceptable" will NOT be placed in the Basket

All Offerors will be provided feedback based on these evaluations.

All Enhanced Whitepapers placed in the electronic Basket must be awarded prior to the expiration date, which is three (3) years from the date that the corresponding RWP closed.
COMPETITIVE EVALUATION CONT.

- Integrated assessment of the following:
  - Likelihood of the proposed solution to successfully achieve the requirement as defined in the Objective Requirements Document (ORD).
  - Adequacy of the technical approach, including complete and clear processes to execute the effort.
    - Demonstrated ability of the proposed effort to advance the technology maturity level.
    - Ability to demonstrate projected performance improvements.
  - Extent to which potential risks are mitigated.
  - Extent to which the Enhanced Whitepaper identifies how the prototype will be evaluated for technical feasibility, manufacturing feasibility or military utility.
  - Extent to which the proposed schedule is realistic and achievable.
  - Extent to which the cost/price estimate provided is appropriate for the proposed scope or approach.

Enhanced Whitepapers are Rated, not Ranked.
**COMPETITIVE EVALUATION CONT.**

- **Competitive Evaluation Merit Rating:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
<th>MERIT RATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Enhanced Whitepaper demonstrates a thorough approach that is expected to exceed project requirements and objectives. The technical benefits outweigh the project risk (technical and schedule) for a development effort at this stage.</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Enhanced Whitepaper demonstrates an adequate approach that is expected to meet project requirements and objectives. The project risk (technical and schedule) is considered acceptable for a development effort at this stage.</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Enhanced Whitepaper does not demonstrate an approach that is expected to meet project requirements and objectives. The path does not appear feasible, or does not provide the Government with a desired new or enhanced capability. The project risk (technical and schedule) is considered too high for a development effort at this stage.</td>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Estimate:**
  - The Government Technical Evaluators will determine if the overall estimate is deemed (I) Insufficient, (S) Sufficient, or (E) Excessive

An Enhanced Whitepaper that receives an overall Competitive Evaluation merit rating of **Unacceptable** will be rejected and will NOT be placed in the Basket.
TECHNICAL LIAISON REQUIREMENTS

- Effectively represent the AOR in a professional manner.

- Furnish material, data, information, or other property required for performance of the Agreement and/or identified in the Government Furnished Property portion of the SOW.

- Observe, monitor, and assess that the Procurement Agreement Holder (PAH) performs the SOW in accordance with the subject Agreement.

- Notify the AOR in writing of any nonconforming work, delays, or disputes.

- Monitor the results of all required tests within the stated time limitations. Forward the results to the AOR.

- Review invoices, technical status, and management reports submitted by the PAH and verify, as practicable, the reasonableness of expenditures for the performance of the effort. Notify the AOR in writing of identified cost discrepancies.

- Participate in the collaborative efforts or meetings as required.

Complete DAU Training - CLC 222
PROTOTYPE PACKAGE REQUIREMENTS

• All PAPs must be submitted through the AOR to:
  usarmy.redstone.rdecom-amrdec.mbx.ota-amtc-objective-requirement@mail.mil

• The following documents are required when preparing a PAP to be submitted for Processing:
  – Approved Selection Memorandum
  – Determination and Findings (D&F)
  – Funding Document – with Appropriate Funding
  – Acquisition Approach
  – Statement of Work – with Clear Prototype Deliverable and CDRLs
  – Technical Liaison Training Certificate
  – Signed Technical Liaison Memorandum
  – Government Furnished Equipment/Materials (GFE/GFM) Listing (if Applicable)
  – AA&E Spreadsheet (if Applicable)
    ▪ Safety Memo and Concurrence (only for AA&E)
    ▪ Environmental Memo and Concurrence  (only for AA&E)
  – Technical Review and Project Cost Realism Analysis
  – Signed CDRLS – if Required
  – Signed DD Form 254 – if Required
  – Signed AOR Nomination and Appointment Letters
AMTC FUNDING POLICY

• Funding can be sent after the **Competitive Evaluation** has been submitted.

• RDT&E (Primary Source), PA/OPA/PDA, AWCF, OMA, & FMS

• FY19 Consolidated Account – Obligation & Disbursements soon after acceptance

• Funding must reach the AMTC Financial Management Office (FMO) no later than 1200 HRS (Noon) CDT/CST, on the third Wednesday of each month in order to be awarded in the current month

• Signed Reimbursable MIPR must be forwarded to FMO AMTC Funding Requests (usarmy.redstone.rdecom-amrdec.mbx.ota-amtc-funding-requests@mail.mil)

• Please cc: Tim Tolbert (timothy.r.tolbert.civ@mail.mil), and Susi Turri (susi.m.turri.civ@mail.mil) to avoid any delays

• Funding accepted by the OTA must be awarded to the AMTC member w/in 4 months

• $50,000 Minimum for New Prototype Projects. No minimum for incremental funding.

• Fee Structure: CCDC AVM – 3.75%, External Agencies – 3.77%

• Memorandum for Distribution – AMTC POLICY AND PROCEDURES is available for specific funding transfer information
Go to: https://ati.acqcenter.com/AMTC/BIDS.NSF/Start?ReadForm

Select ① New Registration, then Select ② “Government Requirement Submitter/Evaluator/AOR”

Requirements, Submission and Evaluation Portal
You must REGISTER to be an Evaluator!

Please select the type of account you are registering for:

**Government**
- Government Requirement Submitter/Evaluator/AOR – Select this in order to evaluate whitepapers and proposals. Please note you must be approved before you will be able to access the system.

**Industry**
- Submitter - Select this in order to submit responses to solicitations.
# AMTC FY19 SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>AMTC 19-01</th>
<th>AMTC 19-02</th>
<th>AMTC 19-03</th>
<th>AMTC 19-04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Window for Objective Requirements</td>
<td>27 Aug - 7 Sep 18</td>
<td>15-26 Oct 18</td>
<td>21 Jan - 4 Feb 19</td>
<td>22 Apr - 3 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Manager Reviews</td>
<td>10-14 Sep 18</td>
<td>29 Oct - 2 Nov 18</td>
<td>4-8 Feb 19</td>
<td>6-10 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination with Technical Liaison for Corrections</td>
<td>10-14 Sep 18</td>
<td>5-9 Nov 18</td>
<td>11-15 Feb 19</td>
<td>6-10 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO and Legal Review of ORDs</td>
<td>14-23 Sep 18</td>
<td>13-16 Nov 18</td>
<td>18 Feb - 1 Mar 19</td>
<td>13-17 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final approval to release RWP to CAO</td>
<td>24 Sep 18</td>
<td>19 Nov 18</td>
<td>4 Mar 19</td>
<td>20 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAO review of ORDs</td>
<td>24-25 Sep 18</td>
<td>20-21 Nov 18</td>
<td>5-7 Mar 19</td>
<td>20-22 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release of RWP to Consortium</td>
<td>25 Sep 18</td>
<td>26 Nov 18</td>
<td>11 Mar 19</td>
<td>24 May 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Whitepaper Submittal VIA BIDS</td>
<td>16 Oct 18</td>
<td>17 Dec 18</td>
<td>8 Apr 19</td>
<td>17 Jun 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Evaluation Due</td>
<td>24 Oct 18</td>
<td>4 Jan 19</td>
<td>15 Apr 19</td>
<td>25 Jun 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Consensus Evaluations Due</td>
<td>7 Nov 18</td>
<td>18 Jan 19</td>
<td>29 Apr 19</td>
<td>10 Jul 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC and Legal Review of Consensus Evaluations</td>
<td>21 Nov 18</td>
<td>1 Feb 19</td>
<td>13 May 19</td>
<td>23 Jul 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced Whitepaper Feedback to AMTC Members</td>
<td>21 Dec 18</td>
<td>22 Feb 19</td>
<td>CL-1: 6 Jun 19</td>
<td>CL-1: 13 Aug 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CL-3: 5 Jul 19</td>
<td>CL-3: 10 Sep 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CL-1:** 6 Jun 19  
**CL-2:** 20 Jun 19  
**CL-3:** 5 Jul 19  
**CL-1:** 13 Aug 19  
**CL-2:** 27 Aug 19  
**CL-3:** 10 Sep 19
Deliver collaborative and innovative aviation and missile capabilities for responsive and cost-effective research, development and life cycle engineering solutions.
Core Competencies

- Life Cycle Engineering
- Research, Technology Development and Demonstration
- Design and Modification
- Software Engineering
- Systems Integration
- Test and Evaluation
- Qualification
- Aerodynamics/Aeromechanics
- Structures
- Propulsion
- Guidance/Navigation
- Autonomy and Teaming
- Radio Frequency (RF) Technology
- Fire Control Radar Technology
- Image Processing
- Models and Simulation
- Cyber Security

FY18 Funding

- $3.4B
- 7% Aviation S&T
- 8% Missile S&T
- 58% Army
- 27% Other

~9,553 FY18 Strength
2,943 Civilian
23 Military
6,587 Contractor

Locations:
- Colorado Springs, CO
- Joint Base Langley - Eustis, VA
- NASA Ames - Moffett Field, CA
- Corpus Christi, TX
- Redstone Arsenal, AL

DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for Public Release
#1: Readiness

Provide aviation and missile systems solutions to ensure victory on the battlefield today.

#2: Future Force

Develop and mature Science and Technology to provide technical capability to our Army’s (and nation’s) aviation and missile systems.

#3: Soldiers and People

Develop the engineering talent to support both Science and Technology and the aviation and missile materiel enterprise.
Web Site
www.amrdec.army.mil

Facebook
www.facebook.com/rdecom.amrdec

Instagram
www.instagram.com/USARMYAMRDEC

Twitter
@usarmyamrdec

Public Affairs
usarmy.redstone.rdecom-amrdec.mbx.pao@mail.mil